

NATIONAL HEADQUARTERS 7 Winthrop Square, Boston, MA 02110 (617) 542-8010

WASHINGTON OFFICE Spanogle Institute for Consumer Advocacy 1001 Connecticut Avenue, NW, Suite 510 Washington, DC 20036 (202) 452-6252

NCLC.ORG

Maryland Senate Education, Energy and the Environment Committee
Hearing on SB 1 Electricity and Gas – Retail Supply – Regulation and Consumer Protection
Testimony of Olivia Wein, National Consumer Law Center
January 24, 2024

## Position - Support

To the Members of the Education, Energy and the Environment Committee:

Thank you for holding this hearing on **Senate Bill 1 -- Electricity and Gas – Retail Supply – Regulation and Consumer Protection (SB 1).** My name is Olivia Wein and I am a senior attorney with the National Consumer Law Center. The National Consumer Law Center ("NCLC") is a non-profit law and policy organization that, since 1969, has used its expertise in consumer law and energy policy to advance consumer justice, racial justice, and economic security for low-income families and individuals. We submit this testimony on behalf of our low-income clients.

NCLC has been actively involved in advocacy for consumers who have been financially harmed by retail energy supply companies and by unfair and deceptive customer acquisition tactics of the retail energy supply agents or employees.

SB 1 contains critical protections for consumers who have been preyed upon by unscrupulous suppliers and their marketers. SB 1 would prevent suppliers from billing residential customers at rates that are higher than the regulated utilities' standard offer service rates. The Act would clamp down on particularly abusive practices that have ensuared consumers in contracts for overpriced energy by prohibiting automatic renewal provisions and early termination or

cancellation fees. SB 1 places firm constraints on variable rates and would prohibit suppliers from signing up low-income Electric Universal Service Program (EUSP) energy assistance customers. SB 1 also gives consumers the ability to protect themselves by requiring new replacement customer choice account numbers for customers whose accounts have been compromised and by requiring the creation of a "Do Not Transfer List." SB 1 has strong reporting requirements to track any reduction (or increase) in enrollment into more expensive retail supply contracts and provides more enforcement tools to better address abusive sales practices.

NCLC has been tracking the consumer experience in competitive supply markets in other states, including the abusive sales practices and inflated prices<sup>1</sup> that have harmed consumers in Massachusetts. NCLC reports describe the unfair and deceptive marketing that has targeted low-income consumers, older adults and those with limited English proficiency. Analysis of the retail energy marketplace<sup>2</sup> in other states finds common problems, including:

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> National Consumer Law Center, Competing to Overcharge Consumers: The Competitive Electric Supplier Market in Massachusetts (April 2018) at https://www.nclc.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/09/competitive-energy-supply-report.pdf; NCLC, Retail "Choice" in Electricity Markets: A Bad Deal for Consumers and the Climate (March 2023), at https://www.nclc.org/resources/retail-choice-in-electricity-markets-a-bad-deal-for-consumers-and-the-climate/; NCLC, Still No Relief for Massachusetts Consumers Tricked by Competitive Electric Supply Companies (Oct. 2018), at https://www.nclc.org/issues/consumers-tricked-by-competitive-electric-supply-companies.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See e.g., Office of the Massachusetts Attorney General, Consumers Continue to Lose Big: the 2023 Update to An Analysis of the Individual Residential Electric Supply Market in Massachusetts, https://www.mass.gov/doc/consumers-continue-to-lose-big-the-2023-update-to-an-analysis-of-the-individualresidential-electric-supply-market-in-massachusetts/download; Reform of Electricity Supply: CEP-Served Residential Retail Electric Market (Prepared by Susan M. Baldwin and Timothy E. Howington on behalf of Maine Office of Public Advocate per 2021 P.L. ch.164 (LD 318)), February 1, 2023, available at <a href="https://www.maine.gov/meopa/reports-and-testimony/retail-supply-stakeholder-group">https://www.maine.gov/meopa/reports-and-testimony/retail-supply-stakeholder-group</a>. Maryland reports have included: Susan M. Baldwin & Sarah M. Bosley, Maryland's Residential Electric and Gas Supply Markets: Where Do We Go from Here?, Maryland Office of the People's Counsel (Nov. 2018),

- Most consumers pay more for retail energy supply than they would have paid for service from their utility company, and some pay much more.
- The very small number of consumers who do manage to save money see only minor savings.
- There is aggressive targeting of low-income households, immigrants and communities of color.
- The abusive sales practices include unauthorized switching of accounts to retail supply (slamming) and unwanted and deceptive, telemarketing or door-to-door marketing.
- Use of low, temporary teaser rates that switch to much higher rates combined with automatic renewals.
- High cancellation fees or early termination fees to trap consumers in the retail energy supply contract.
- Greenwashing to market more expensive retail energy supply.

Inflated energy prices have devastating consequences for families struggling from month-to-month to pay for utility bills, rent or the mortgage, auto loans, food, medicine and other basic necessities. When there isn't enough in the budget to meet these basic needs, families may face disconnection from vital utility service, cutting back on food and healthcare, and other strategies that can harm the health, safety and well-being of vulnerable families.

Inflated utility bills will also affect the ability of Maryland to reach its climate goals in an equitable manner, since a fair and effective transition to electrification will require electric bills to remain affordable. In addition to helping to keep utility bills more affordable, the protections in SB 1 would help to counteract the frequent over-promising of purported climate benefits of competitive energy supply products, or "greenwashing." SB 1 would help to protect consumers from overpriced, deceptive offers of "green power" products<sup>3</sup> by

http://www.opc.maryland.gov/Portals/0/Publications/reports/APPRISE%20Where%20do%20we %20go%20from%2 0Here.pdf?v er=2019-09-11-075024-040; Abell Foundation, Maryland's Dysfunctional Residential Third-Party Energy Supply Market: An Assessment of Costs and Policies (Dec. 2018), at

 $https://www.abell.org/sites/default/files/files/Third\%\,20Party\%\,20Energy\%\,20Report\_final\%\,20for\,\%\,20web.pdf.$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See, e.g., States of California, Connecticut, Delaware, Illinois, Maryland, Michigan, Minnesota, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, Oregon, Rhode Island, and Wisconsin; Commonwealths of Massachusetts and Pennsylvania; and District of Columbia, Comments to the Federal Trade

ensuring the renewable energy credits that are marketed to Marylanders are locally generated or include electricity delivered into the PJM region.

In conclusion, NCLC strongly supports SB 1 and recommends it receive favorable treatment from this committee. If there are any questions regarding this testimony, please contact Olivia Wein, Senior Attorney, National Consumer Law Center at <a href="mailto:owein@nclc.org">owein@nclc.org</a> or 202-452-6252.

/s/ Olivia Wein

Olivia Wein, Senior Attorney National Consumer Law Center

Commission regarding the Green Guides, No. FTC-20220077-0987 (April 24, 2023), available at https://www.regulations.gov/comment/FTC-2022-0077-0987; Laurel Peltier, Retail Energy's Greenwashing: How Fictional Renewable Energy Certificates Became "100% Renewable" Electricity (Sept. 2022), available at

https://static1.squarespace.com/static/5f3489173119d979768248eb/t/63af4cb09cd8f9321ba3bd9 c/16724328167 08/Retail+Energy+Greenwashing.pdf; Comment from National Consumer Law Center, with Public Citizen, Maryland Energy Advocates Coalition, Pennsylvania Utility Law Project, Federal Trade Commission Comment ID FTC-2022-0077-0111 (Feb 22, 2023), at https://www.regulations.gov/comment/FTC-2022-0077-0111.