

February 2, 2021

Dear Member of Congress:

Welcome to the 117th Congress. As 53 organizations working on behalf of students, consumers, veterans, faculty and staff, civil rights advocates, researchers, and others concerned about unaffordable student debts and predatory practices, we are providing an outline of our <u>coalition's</u> higher education priorities. As Congress continues to consider reauthorization of the Higher Education Act (HEA) and to evaluate other higher education proposals, including COVID-19 related aid, we strongly urge you to support policies that strengthen safeguards for taxpayers and students, including low-income students and students of color.

The federal government plays a critical role in putting higher education within reach for millions of Americans, by providing grants and loans to help finance their education. But the COVID-19 pandemic has accelerated a shift to unproven online education, and has led to enormous job loss. Similar economic circumstances have historically driven dramatic enrollment increases, particularly at for-profit colleges.<sup>i</sup>

Unfortunately, some colleges engage in predatory practices that can mislead or defraud students, and can consistently leave students with worthless degrees and debts they cannot afford.<sup>ii</sup> The data clearly demonstrate that a disproportionate number of these institutions are privately owned and operated on a for-profit basis.<sup>iii</sup> Veterans, low-income students, and students of color have been specifically targeted and disproportionately harmed by predatory colleges.<sup>iv</sup> Taxpayers are investing billions of dollars in for-profit colleges each year via federal student financial aid programs.<sup>v</sup> But too often, students are left with degrees or diplomas that are not respected in the job market.<sup>vi</sup> Too frequently students leave these schools with high debt but with no degree or diploma.<sup>viii</sup> One study has shown that students at for-profit colleges default almost four times as often as students attending community colleges.<sup>viii</sup>

Meanwhile, racial inequity is fueled by predatory colleges that disproportionately enroll students of color. Black and Latino students attending for-profit colleges are less likely to complete programs, and borrow an average of \$10,000 more than Black and Latino students attending public colleges.<sup>ix</sup>

Over the past four years, regulations and other protections intended to address these welldocumented problems, including the Department of Education's "borrower defense to repayment rule" and "gainful employment rule," have been rolled back or rescinded. Thousands of borrowers, including many veterans, who have demonstrated that they were misled and lied to by their colleges, continue to fight to cancel their student loans.<sup>x</sup> Meanwhile, new borrowers are faced with a borrower defense rule that was opposed by bipartisan majorities in the House and Senate, and that will make it virtually impossible for any student to cancel student loans taken based on lies by a college, and no college is likely to have to pay back the cost of loans cancelled due to misconduct.

As you continue to work toward an overdue HEA reauthorization, and to consider other legislative proposals impacting higher education including measures specific to the COVID-19 pandemic, we ask that you ensure that common-sense protections for students and taxpayers are improved. Specifically, we seek to ensure that four core existing protections are restored, enforced, and strengthened in any higher education legislation: the 90-10 rule, borrower defense to repayment, gainful employment, and the ban on incentive compensation.

### 90/10 Rule

The 90/10 Rule is an important and long-standing HEA provision that ensures for-profit colleges demonstrate market viability by forbidding for-profit corporations from being wholly dependent on federal funds.<sup>xi</sup> The rule has its genesis in the early GI Bill and is intended to ensure that taxpayer funds are not used to prop-up a subpar, failing enterprise. A college or school offering a quality education at a competitive price should be able to attract other sources of tuition from employers, scholarship providers, state funds, and students themselves. It is important in preventing waste, fraud, and abuse in higher education.

However, under current law, education funds from the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs (including the GI Bill) and the U.S. Department of Defense (including "Tuition Assistance") were inadvertently left out of the statute, and are not required to be counted as federal funds.<sup>xii</sup> This loophole has the unfortunate consequence of incentivizing for-profit schools to target veterans, service members, and their families with aggressive and deceptive recruiting tactics in order to gain access to their GI Bill and military tuition aid. Additionally, the thresholds set by the rule have been lowered from the original 85/15 set in 1992. *The important purpose of the 90/10 rule must be restored by closing the loophole and returning to an 85/15 threshold*.

#### **Borrower Defense to Repayment**

The HEA includes a provision that allows for "borrower defense to repayment." The provision allows a student's financial aid obligations to be discharged if a borrower demonstrates loans were agreed to as a result of misrepresentation, fraud, or other illegal conduct. While the provision has been law for many years, it was rarely asserted, and no clear process was established for students to seek relief. The collapse of Corinthian Colleges and ITT Tech brought broad public attention to pervasive fraudulent misrepresentations made to students by these and other predatory colleges, and resulted in a surge of claims filed by students. In 2016, a regulation was adopted to set forth a process that helped to ensure that neither defrauded students nor taxpayers are left on the hook for wrongdoing by schools, and provided automatic loan cancellation to students whose schools closed suddenly.<sup>xiii</sup>

Rather than using the process created by the 2016 rule to address the claims of the more than 140,000 student borrowers who have filed claims, and recover funds from colleges that engage in

misleading tactics, that rule was replaced in 2019 with a new rule making it virtually impossible for borrowers who have been lied to succeed in cancelling their loans.<sup>xiv</sup> While bipartisan majorities in both the House and the Senate voted to stop the 2019 borrower defense rule, it is now in effect.<sup>xv</sup> Meanwhile, thousands of student borrowers continue to fight to cancel more than a fraction of their student loans administratively and in court.<sup>xvi</sup> *Students must have a clear and straightforward path to complete loan discharges when the school they attended has been engaged in misconduct, students must be able to automatically discharge loans when schools close suddenly, and the Department of Education must be able to recover the cost of cancelled loans from colleges.* 

# **Gainful Employment**

The HEA requires that all career education programs offered at public, non-profit, and for-profit colleges receiving federal student aid dollars "prepare students for gainful employment in a recognized occupation." A rule finalized in 2014 explained what gainful employment required: that programs provide basic information about how many students get jobs, how much they earn, and how much debt they have, and that those programs that continuously left their graduates with more debt than they can repay must improve or lose eligibility for federal funding.<sup>xvii</sup> The regulation worked to drive improvement, with 9 in 10 colleges having no failing programs in 2016.<sup>xviii</sup> Nonetheless, in 2019 the Department of Education rescinded the rule at an estimated cost to taxpayers of \$6 billion. *A strong gainful employment requirement must become permanent and be fully implemented*.

## **Incentive Compensation Ban**

The Higher Education Act's ban on incentive compensation (commissioned sales) was enacted with strong bipartisan support in 1992 to reduce high-pressure, deceptive sales tactics in college admissions. Congressional intent was that colleges should not reward individuals or third parties for enrolling students, by paying commissions or bonuses based on the number of students enrolled, because it puts the financial interests of college employees, and their associates, before the needs of students.

In 2015, the Department of Education's Inspector General called for greater oversight and enforcement of the ban on incentive compensation, in order to provide greater protection for students and taxpayers.<sup>xix</sup> Instead, there has been little enforcement of the ban, while colleges have increasingly relied on the Departments guidance document to contract with third party "online program managers" compensated on the basis of the number of students enrolled.<sup>xx</sup> *The incentive compensation ban must be better enforced to prevent abusive recruiting and sales tactics by colleges.* 

## **Additional Proposals**

We also support other legislative efforts to strengthen the integrity of colleges and prevent abusive tactics, specifically ensuring that the cohort default rate is not subject to manipulation; that resources are directed towards students via instruction and support services rather than primarily spent on marketing advertising and compensation; that colleges, particularly those converting from for-profit to non-profit or public status, have robust governance structures in place to prevent private inurement and independent decision making, and to make sure that accreditors and state authorizers uphold their role in the higher education triad.

We would like to offer ourselves as a resource and look forward to working together with this Congress to make certain that common-sense laws and regulations are strengthened and enforced, and to ensure the efficient use of taxpayer dollars by colleges. We urge you to support strong higher education policies that minimize waste, fraud, and abuse in higher education, and that protect students, their families, and the taxpaying public from predatory practices at some colleges.

Sincerely,

American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees (AFSCME) American Federation of Teachers Americans for Financial Reform Campaign for America's Future Center for American Progress Center for Law and Social Policy (CLASP) Center for Public Interest Law Center for Responsible Lending Children's Advocacy Institute Clearinghouse on Women's Issues **Consumer** Action Consumer Federation of California CWA Local 1081 Cypress Hills Local Development Corporation David Halperin, Attorney Democrats for Education Reform East Bay Community Law Center Education Reform Now **EMPath Generation Progress** Government Accountability Project Higher Education Loan Coalition Hildreth Institute Housing and Economic Rights Advocates

Maine Center for Economic Policy Maryland Consumer Rights Coalition National Association for College Admission Counseling National Association of Consumer Advocates National Association of Consumer Bankruptcy Attorneys (NACBA) National Consumer Law Center (on behalf of its low-income clients) National Education Association New America Higher Education Program New York State Association for College Admission Counseling Partnership for College Completion Project on Predatory Student Lending Public Citizen Public Counsel Public Good Law Center Public Higher Education Network of Massachusetts (PHENOM) Public Law Center Robert Shireman, Director of Higher Education Excellence, The Century Foundation Service Employees International Union (SEIU) Student Debt Crisis Student Defense Student Veterans of America The Education Trust The Institute for College Access & Success UnidosUS U.S. Public Interest Research Group (PIRG) Veterans Education Success Veterans for Common Sense Woodstock Institute Young Invincibles

<sup>iii</sup> United States Department of Education, "Education Department Releases Final Debt-to-Earnings Rates for Gainful Employment Programs," January 9, 2017, https://bit.ly/3ifBngI; United States Department of Education, Fact Sheet: Department of Education Announces Release of New Program-Level Gainful Employment Earnings Data, <u>https://bit.ly/3sqwgyH</u>.

<sup>iv</sup> David Halperin, Republic Report, "Law Enforcement Investigations and Actions Regarding For-Profit Colleges," January 11, 2021, https://bit.ly/3sqwgyH; United States Senate Health, Education, Labor, Pension Committee Staff Report, "For Profit Higher Education: The Failure to Safeguard the Federal Investment and Ensure Student Success," July 2012, <u>https://bit.ly/2XH9nJm</u>; United States Department of Veterans Affair, Press Release, VA intends to suspend enrollment of new GI Bill students at University of Phoenix, Career Education Corporation, Bellevue University and Temple University," March 9, 2020, <u>https://bit.ly/2XCBnxI</u>

<sup>v</sup> United States Department of Education, Federal Student Aid Office, Title IV Program Volume Reports, Award Year Summary by School Type, <u>https://bit.ly/3oJx5R7</u>.

<sup>vi</sup> Stephanie Riegg Cellini and Nicholas Turner, "Gainfully Employed? Assessing the Employment and Earnings of For-Profit College Students Using Administrative Data," January 2018, https://bit.ly/3oWLaug; Veterans Education Success, "Despite a 2016 Statute, the GI Bill Still Pays for Degrees That Do Not Lead to a Job," 2018, https://bit.ly/3sqxVEr; Veterans Education Success, "The GI Bill Pays for Degrees That Do Not Lead to a Job," 2015, https://bit.ly/38Js59v.

<sup>viii</sup> United States Senate Health, Education, Labor, Pension Committee Staff Report, "For Profit Higher Education: The Failure to Safeguard the Federal Investment and Ensure Student Success," July 2012, <u>https://bit.ly/2XH9nJm</u> <sup>viii</sup> Judith Scott Clayton, "The Looming Student Debt Crisis is Worse Than We Thought," The Brookings Institute, January 11, 2018, https://brook.gs/2XHRoSQ.

<sup>ix</sup> The Leadership Conference Education Fund, "Gainful Employment: A Civil Rights Perspective," October 2019, https://bit.ly/3nDAYWk .

<sup>x</sup> Project on Predatory Student Lending, Press Release, "Judge Orders that Department of Education Cannot Resume Issuing Borrower Defense Denials Without Notifying Court and Borrowers," November 23, 2020, <u>https://bit.ly/38Jt8pX</u>

<sup>xi</sup> *Cleland v. Nat'l College of Business*, 435 U.S. 213 (1978). The Supreme Court upheld the provision's predecessor as "a device intended by Congress to allow the free market mechanism to operate and weed out those institutions [which] could survive only by the heavy influx of Federal payments" and "a way of protecting [students] by allowing the free market mechanism to operate... [I]f an institution of higher learning cannot attract sufficient.... nonsubsidized students to its programs, it presents a great potential for abuse of our educational programs."

<sup>xii</sup> Daniel Golden, "For-Profit Colleges Target the Military," *Bloomberg BusinessWeek* (Dec. 30, 2009) ("When the law was enacted, for-profits hadn't yet moved into the military market, so the legislation's sponsors weren't focused on [it],' says Sarah A. Flanagan, who helped draft the law as the Senate's specialist in federal student aid. The law was intended to ensure that for-profit colleges offered an education good enough that some students were willing to pay for it, says Flanagan, now vice-president of the National Association of Independent Colleges and Universities, a Washington-based lobbying group. 'Counting Defense Dept. funding for servicemen's education as part of the money that's supposed to come out of consumers' pockets violates the purpose of the original legislation,' Flanagan says.")

<sup>xiii</sup> United States Department of Education, "U.S. Department of Education Announces Final Regulations to Protect Students and Taxpayers from Predatory Institutions," October 28, 2016, <u>https://bit.ly/39vX870</u>.

xiv United States Department of Education, 2019 Borrower Defense to Repayment Rule, 34 CFR Parts 668, 682, 685, <u>https://bit.ly/2XHKWLG</u>; TICAS, "Defrauded Students Left Holding the Bag Under the Final 'Borrower Defense' Rule" September 3, 2019, <u>https://bit.ly/39vXr2s</u>; TICAS, "Top 10 Ways the New Borrower Defense Rule is Worse for Students," 2019, <u>https://bit.ly/3qlGChE</u>.

<sup>xv</sup> Kerry Murakami, "Borrower-Defense Rule Saved by Trump Veto but Still Faces Fight in Court," June 1, 2020, https://bit.ly/3oKGxUn

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>i</sup> Aaron Ament and Debbie Cochrane, "As the coronavirus speeds colleges' move online, what happens to oversight?", <u>https://bit.ly/38Jv8yv</u>; See also National Center for Education Statistics, Digest of Education Statistics, <u>https://bit.ly/3ip8rmx</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>ii</sup> Program Integrity: Gainful Employment Final Rule, October 31, 2014, https://bit.ly/3bCbhDa; Republic Report, "Law Enforcement Investigations and Actions Regarding For-Profit Colleges," https://bit.ly/2XEgsuf; "VA intends to suspend enrollment of new GI Bill students at University of Phoenix, Career Education Corporation, Bellevue University and Temple University," https://bit.ly/3bCbsOQ.

<sup>xx</sup> Federal Student Aid Office, Implementation of Program Integrity Rules, March 17, 2011, <u>https://bit.ly/2LVhSx;</u> See also The Century Foundation, "Dear Colleges: Take Control of Your Online Courses," September 12, 2019, <u>https://bit.ly/35GXKGE</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>xvi</sup> Project on Predatory Student Lending, Press Release, "Judge Orders that Department of Education Cannot Resume Issuing Borrower Defense Denials Without Notifying Court and Borrowers," November 23, 2020, <u>https://bit.ly/38Jt8pX</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>xvii</sup> Program Integrity: Gainful Employment Final Rule, 79 FR 64889, October 31, 2014, https://bit.ly/2Ke5lVx . <sup>xviii</sup> United States Department of Education, "Education Department Releases Final Debt-to-Earnings Rates for Gainful Employment Programs," and accompanying "Fact Sheet" January 9, 2017, https://bit.ly/3nL4ola; <u>https://www2.ed.gov/documents/press-releases/ge-fact-sheet-online.pdf</u>; TICAS, "Detailed Comments on the Gainful Employment Notice of Proposed Rulemaking", pp 6- 8, September 13, 2018, http://bit.ly/2Z0YWCw. <sup>xix</sup> United States Department of Education, Office of Inspector General "Final Audit Report," March 24, 2015 https://bit.ly/3st5whd.