Since 1969, the nonprofit National Consumer Law Center (NCLC) has used its expertise in consumer law and energy policy to work for consumer justice and economic security for low-income and other disadvantaged people in the United States through policy analysis and advocacy, publications, litigation, and training.

https://www.nclc.org/
NCLC transportation electrification principles

- Advance solutions that will --
  1. Increase transportation access and security for economically disadvantaged consumers
  2. Equitably allocate costs and benefits for economically disadvantaged consumers
  3. Reduce air pollution to achieve public health benefits
  4. Reduce emissions (state climate goals)
The report approaches the issues from three perspectives: utilities, the EV charging industry and consumers.
Energy Affordability Challenges Before COVID-19

Households that experienced energy insecurity, 2015
percent of households

- reported any household energy insecurity
- reduced or forgone basic necessities to pay energy bill
- received disconnection notice
- kept home at unhealthy or unsafe temperature

What are the potential benefits and risks of transportation electrification for low-income consumers?

- Residential customer benefits – what we hope to see, especially for under-resourced consumers
  - Lower electric rates
  - Lower transportation costs
  - More transportation options
- Residential customer risks –
  - Short-term (or longer?) rate increases to fund utility infrastructure investment
  - Will benefits be equitably shared?
Who will use EVs and how? Car Ownership and Low-Income Consumers

- Average vehicle age is 11.6 years
- Lower income consumers are more likely to lack access to a vehicle, a trend more pronounced for people of color
- Those low-income drivers who own tend to own older vehicles
- For some low-income consumers, non-ownership alternatives such as public transit, electric school buses or subsidized car share programs may be an equitable way to share in benefits of transportation electrification
Benefits and risks, continued

- Societal benefits
  - Public health and environmental benefits
  - Lower transportation costs overall
  - Efficient use of DERs with managed charging

- Societal risks
  - Will low-income drivers be the last ones driving gasoline-fueled cars?
  - Will additional electricity be produced with renewable energy or with fossil fuels?
  - Would less expensive transportation lead to higher VMTs and less investment in public transit?
What roles should utilities and other stakeholders play?

- EV infrastructure investments must be pursued in a way that will lessen the impact on ratepayers and shield struggling low-income ratepayers from unaffordable rate increases, while providing sufficient infrastructure to support broad adoption of EVs.
- Limit utility investments to those not addressed by the market or where other resources are not available, which may include make-ready, multi-family.
- Very small rate increases so far but advocates continue to be mindful of bill impacts.
- Use rate design, discount rates, bill payment assistance to protect low-income consumers.
- Private investment, state and federal funding, Transportation and Climate Initiative as other possible options.
What types of utility infrastructure will be needed/who will pay/cost recovery?

- Community and stakeholder input should provide guidance
- Infrastructure to support public uses like electrification of public transit, school buses
- Multi-family housing
  - Many cars lack an off-street parking space
  - Low-income households, younger households, and people of color are more likely to rent than are other demographic groups
- Regulators have recognized this need in multi-family housing and allowed for utility investment (e.g., California, Florida, Massachusetts)
- Equity principles support funding through sources other than utility investment where possible, and when utility investment is needed, mitigating rate impacts on low-income consumers
Some Rate Design Options and Cost Recovery Options

- EV-only tariffs
  - Time-varying rates

- Amortizing investment costs over long periods of time

- Applying “used and useful” cost recovery principles

- Establish or enhance low-income discounts and arrearage management programs to mitigate any short-term financial harms from new investment
Time varying rates (TVRs) and low-income consumers

- Consider needs of disadvantaged consumers
  - Some low-income consumers conserve energy so well and use so little electricity that it is difficult to shift load
  - May lack appliances such as central air conditioning, dishwashers, clothes dryers
  - May use medical equipment
  - More likely to work irregular schedules

- Possibilities include EV-only TVRs (instead of whole house), one year “hold harmless” trial period, shadow billing, opt-in TOU rate

- AMI costs paid by EV participants
Policy and regulatory issues

- Utility consumer protections
  - Stronger consumer protections to prevent disconnection will be needed as beneficial electrification moves forward

- Address underserved markets
  - Community mobility needs assessments can help identify the most beneficial types of programs for an underserved community, such as installation of chargers in multi-family housing, enhanced purchase and lease incentives, public transit, school buses, ride sharing, car sharing, scrap & replace, electric bike or scooter sharing, addressing digital divide issues
But you can’t plug in your EV without electricity …

- COVID-19 crisis has highlighted utility affordability issues
- Orders or voluntary guidance were issued in most states to prevent disconnection of electricity, gas and/or water service for nonpayment during crisis
- Public Health Rationale for Disconnection Moratorium Orders:
  - Uninterrupted access to electricity, heat, and hot water are now necessary for individual and public health
  - Must maintain electric and other utility service, including telecommunications, to comply with directives to maintain social distancing, wash hands, stay at home while sick, work from home, participate in on-line education, consult with health care providers remotely, monitor the condition of vulnerable family and friends
  - Financial hardships due to health consequences, diminished income, or both
  - Disproportionate harm to people of color, low-wage workers
Status of Moratorium Orders and Post-Moratorium Protections

- Updated lists of state moratorium orders and post-moratorium information available at:
  - Energy and Policy Inst., energyandpolicy.org
  - NARUC State Tracker, naruc.org
  - NASUCA State Tracker, nasuca.org
State Orders, examples

- California
  - Request filed with CPUC on 3/12/2020
  - Request referenced open dockets on disconnections (R.18-07-005) and disaster relief (R.18-03-011)
  - Order issued 3/17, retroactive to 3/4
  - Applies to energy, water, sewer, and communications companies under CPUC jurisdiction
  - Extends through at least April 16, 2021
  - Requirements for payment plans for energy customers are found in previous disaster docket order, D.19-07-015
State Orders, examples

- Massachusetts
  - Disconnection moratorium issued on 3/24 to cover regulated electricity, gas and regulated water service
  - Lasts for duration of state of emergency or other notice from DPU
  - Current proceeding at DPU 20-58 re: credit and collection policies, payment plans, AMPs, duration of protections, self-certification
  - Post-moratorium working group established
State Orders, examples

- Illinois Credit and Collections Policies, Post Emergency
  - ICC initiated proceeding as part of shut off moratorium order calling for more flexible C&C plans to be filed by all regulated electric, gas and water utilities “for the Commission’s consideration and review.”
  - Commission: “Temporary revised and more flexible credit and collections procedures are needed to ensure that customers remain connected to essential utility services when the emergency status ends.”
Post-Moratorium Advocacy – NCLC Recommendations

NCLC general recommendations include:

- Reconnect any customers disconnected prior to shelter-in-place order
- Eliminate any customer deposit requirements
- Eliminate down payment requirements on payment plans or deferred payment arrangements (DPAs)
- Allow flexible, reasonable DPAs that are based on the customer's ability to pay (18-24 months for low-income customers)
- Eliminate any requirement that disconnected customers pay the full arrearage in order to reconnect, thereby permitting reconnection upon issuance of an affordable DPA
- Eliminate minimum balance requirements for prepaid utility service customers
- Stop late fees and negative credit reporting
- Require utilities to collect and report critical data on residential disconnections and arrearages, by zip code
NCLC Resources

- **NCLC comments** re: Transportation & Climate Initiative of the Northeast and Mid-Atlantic States, February 28, 2020
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