43 Groups Oppose H.R. 5082 (Mooney), Practice of Law Technical Clarification Act of 2018

March 19, 2018

United States House Committee on Financial Services
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative:

Two months ago our legal services, community, consumer, and civil rights groups wrote you to oppose H.R. 4550 (Gonzalez), the Practice of Law Technical Clarification Act, because of the harm it would cause consumers. The bill has been re-filed with some changes under a new number, H.R. 5082, and different lead sponsor (Money), but the bill is even more dangerous and anti-consumer than its predecessor.

Both H.R. 5082 and H.R. 4550 would exempt attorneys and law firms engaged in litigation from the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (FDCPA) and eliminate Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB) authority over them. These dangers to consumers are explained in our previous letter, this issue brief, and this letter from almost 80 Texas attorneys and law professors. The only beneficiaries of this bills would be lawyers who are violating the FDCPA by using abusive and deceptive activities to collect debts.

The changes made in H.R. 5082 make it even more harmful to consumers than the previous version because it would add an exemption from the FDCPA for “any other activities engaged in as part of the practice of law, under the laws of a State in which the attorney is licensed, that relate to the legal action.” This vague language expands the scope of the exemption significantly. While H.R. 5082, attempts to add a provision requiring that a legal action actually be served on the defendant before the FDCPA exemption applies, even this provisions falls short of the mark by allowing “attempted” rather than actual service to suffice.

As explained in a letter sent by nearly 80 attorneys and law professors from every corner of Texas recently to Congressman Gonzalez, the bill is likely to lead to:

- More lawsuits as attorneys rush to litigation to immunize their conduct in an already overburdened court-system
- Less informal resolution of consumer debt as lawsuits become preferred method of collection
- More use of unfair litigation tactics, all now covered by the FDCPA, including:
  - Lawsuits against consumers in distant courts
  - Lawsuits to collect zombie debt
○ Lawsuits to collect amounts not owed, including amounts discharged in bankruptcy
○ More judgments obtained and collected through unfair means such as pressure to sign over protected government benefits.

We strongly urge you to oppose H.R. 5082 and reject this attempt to undermine critical protections for consumers from the deceptive and unfair activities of lawyers collecting debts. For more information, please contact Margot Saunders (MSaunders@nclc.org) or April Kuehnhoff (AKuehnhoff@nclc.org) at the National Consumer Law Center.
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