As the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB) moves closer to issuing regulations under the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, this summary of key facts about consumer debt collection shows the need for greater consumer protections.

Debt Collection is a Pervasive Part of American Life
- In 2017, the CFPB estimated that 70 million Americans had or were contacted about a debt in collection in the prior year.
- In 2016, 33% of Americans with credit reports and 45% of residents of predominantly nonwhite zip codes with credit reports had debt in collection.
- Encore Capital Group, Inc., one of the nation’s largest debt buyers, claims that 20% of US consumers either owe it money currently or have owed it money in the past.
- Consumers generally default on debt due to unemployment, illness, divorce, or other unanticipated hardships.¹

Consumers Are Inundated with Debt Collection Calls
- Debt collectors estimate contacting consumers more than a billion times a year.
- Credit card companies “limit” their debt collectors to 3-15 calls per account per day.
- The collection industry has asked the CFPB to allow 6 debt collection calls per day, 186 calls per month, and 2,190 calls per year for each collection account.

Debt Collection is the Top Consumer Complaint
- In 2016, debt collection was the largest source of complaints in the Federal Trade Commission’s (FTC) database of consumer complaints, generating more than 850,000 complaints,² and also the leading source of consumer complaints to the CFPB.
- At the CFPB, “continued attempts to collect debt not owed” was the most common type of collection complaint reported by 41% of consumers, with 61% of these stating that the debt is not theirs and 27% reporting that the debt was paid.

Debt Collection Is Big Business
- In 2017, IbisWorld reported that there were 8,513 debt collection agencies in the U.S.
- The collection industry generated an estimated $11 billion in revenue in 2017.
Debt Collectors Sue Millions of Struggling Consumers Annually

- In a national survey conducted by the CFPB, 15% of all consumers who had been contacted about a debt in collection were sued in the past year.
- State courts are clogged with millions of suits by debt collectors.
- Debt collectors rely on court rules that allow them to obtain default judgments in an overwhelming majority of lawsuits, often without presenting any evidence.
- Less than 10% of consumers are represented by an attorney when they are sued on a debt, making it virtually impossible for these consumers to present their defenses.

Debt Buying Changed the Way Debts Are Collected

- Debt buyers purchase consumer debts that were written off by the original lender for an average of just pennies on the dollar but aggressively seek to collect the full amount of the debt – often adding interest, penalties, and attorney’s fees.
- Debt buyers purchase accounts in bulk, frequently obtaining only an electronic spreadsheet with minimal information about the debts.
- Debts purchased by debt buyers may be old, including some that are past the statute of limitations.
- The face value of defaulted consumer debt purchased by debt buyers increased from less than $10 billion in 1993 to $98 billion in 2013.
- Credit card and other debt sellers may not even guarantee that the accuracy of the information provided to the debt buyer.

Rising Student Loan Debt Will Harm Families for Decades

- Of the nearly 42 million federal student loan borrowers, 1 in 4 borrowers are delinquent on their loans and approximately 7.5 million borrowers are currently in default on roughly $125 billion of student loan debt.
- In February 2015, the U.S. Department of Education found high incidences of materially inaccurate representations with 5 of its 22 private collection agencies.

Medical Debt Continues to Affect Millions of Americans

- In 2016, 18% of Americans with credit reports and 21% of residents of predominantly nonwhite zip code with credit reports had medical debt in collection.
- In a national survey, 59% of respondents that were contacted by a creditor or debt collector about a debt were contacted about a medical debt.

For further information, visit http://www.nclc.org/issues/debt-collection.html or contact Margot Saunders (msaunders@nclc.org) or April Kuehnhoff (akuehnhoff@nclc.org).
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